

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

-					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,388	09/28/2001		Alex Horng	HORN3063/EM/7243	2340
	590 04/09/2003				
Bacon & Thomas				EXAMI	NER
625 Slaters Lane - 4th Floor Alexandria, VA 22314			WAKS, JOSEPH		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2834	

DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
× 1		*					
Office Action Summary	09/964,388	HORNG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication an	Joseph Waks	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	September 2001 .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 Se<i>ptember 2001</i></u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		·					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 4 and 5 in Paper No. 0303 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group II, their being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 0303.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: it refers to unpublished and abandoned application No. 09/610,970.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 09/964,388

Art Unit: 2834

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerfast (US 4,883,981) in view of Finegold (US 4,446,393).

Gerfast discloses a D.C. motor comprising a casing 16 with a chamber, the chamber having a support section 78 in a bottom thereof, an IC control means29 being mounted on the casing, a stator coil assembly 14, 15 being mounted on the casing and comprising even coils formed by a single conducting wire, and a rotor 20 comprising a shaft 28 rotatable held in the support section of the casing, the rotor comprising a permanent magnet 22 having north and south poles, the rotor being repulsed and driven by magnetic fields created by the coils wherein the casing with even mounting members 38 provided on a wall defining the chamber for mounting the coils. However, Gerfast does not disclose the coils formed of continuously winding a single conducting wire in a manner that each of the coils has a winding direction opposite to that of the adjacent coil.

Finegold discloses in Figure 2 the coils formed of continuously winding a single conducting wire in a manner that each of the coils has a winding direction opposite to that of the adjacent coil for the purpose of producing a complete field winding with plural coils by a continuous winding from uninterruptedly continuous of conductive strapping.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the motor as taught by Gerfast and to provide the coils formed of continuously winding a single conducting wire as taught by Finegold for the purpose of producing a complete field winding with plural coils by a continuous winding from uninterruptedly continuous of conductive strapping.

Prior Art

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Communication

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Waks whose telephone number is (703) 308-1676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor R Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-1341 for regular communications and (703) 305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

JOSEPH WAKS
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
TC-2800

JW

April 3, 2003